

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6
7 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8

9 AILEEN BROOKS, on behalf of herself
10 and all others similarly situated,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 IT WORKS MARKETING, INC.,
14 IT WORKS! GLOBAL, INC., MARK
15 PENTECOST, and PAUL NASSIF,

16 Defendants.

Case No: 1:21-cv-01341-DAD-BAK (SKO)

**ORDER GRANTING PARTIES'
STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

(Doc. 39)

Complaint Filed: September 3, 2021

17 The Court has considered the parties' Stipulation to Extend Time for Defendants to Answer
18 or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. (Doc. 39.) Good cause appearing,
19 the Court hereby GRANTS the parties' request. The time within which Defendants are required to
20 respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is extended until July 7, 2022.¹
21

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: **June 21, 2022**

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto

24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25
26

27 ¹ The parties also stipulated to extensions of time in connection with "Defendants' Motion to Dismiss." (See Doc. 39.)
28 However, no such motion has been filed. (See Docket.) Accordingly, the Court finds the stipulated extensions premature at this time and declines to approve them. Any motion to dismiss filed by Defendants shall be noticed and briefed in accordance with Local Rule 230. Should modifications to the briefing schedule become necessary, the parties may file a stipulation for court approval at that time.